

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/896,486 SUNETRA K. MENDIS 06/29/2001 VISA-54 9201 EXAMINER 28112 7590 12/22/2003 GEORGE O. SAILE & ASSOCIATES PYO, KEVIN K 28 DAVIS AVENUE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER POUGHKEEPSIE, NY 12603 2878

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1
0.00	09/896,486	MENDIS ET AL.	\mathcal{N}
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit	· C
	Kevin Pyo	2878	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the me earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi tod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	5 September 2003.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under			is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the applicating 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-22 and 24-29 is/sis/sis/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	are withdrawn from conside	ration.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corulation. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawin	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of: 2. Certified copies of the priority document of: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document of: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document of: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document of: 4. See the attached detailed Office action for a opplication from the International Burent of: 5. See the attached detailed Office action for a opplication of a claim for doment of the foreign language of: 13. The translation of the foreign language of: 14. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for doment of the first sentence of the	ents have been received. ents have been received in priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). list of the certified copies not estic priority under 35 U.S.C. e first sentence of the specific provisional application has estic priority under 35 U.S.C.	Application No In received in this National Stage t received. § 119(e) (to a provisional application or in an Application Data Stage Deen received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specif	neet. fic
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Not	5) 🔲 Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/896,486

Art Unit: 2878

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of claim 23 in the response filed on 9/15/2003 is acknowledged. The Examiner has considered applicant's arguments regarding applicant's election with traverse of claim 23 in the response.

In response to applicant's argument that the fields of search for Groups I-V are clearly and necessarily co-extensive, it was not found persuasive since the fact that the search for the two inventions overlaps does not necessarily eliminate a serious burden in examining two different inventions.

Applicant further argues that "the process claims are directed to a method of verifying operation of a group of at least one active pixel sensor within an array, and that the apparatus claims are directed to an apparatus for testing functionality, evaluating performance and measuring capacitance of a photo-conversion device of at least one active pixel sensor of an array, and it is necessary to obtain claims in both the process and apparatus claim language". The Examiner disagrees with this argument. The apparatus of claimed invention I comprising a test voltage selection circuit and a timing control circuit is used to practice for testing functionality of an active pixel sensor (as shown by Invention II), and can be used to practice another and materially different process such as determining the capacitance of a photo-conversion device of an active pixel sensor or evaluating the performance of an active pixel sensor (as shown by Inventions III-V). Each of the inventions III-V recites limitations not cited in the other invention. The recited steps for determining the capacitance of a photo-conversion device of an active pixel sensor are different from those for testing functionality of an active pixel sensor, for example. The differing limitations make the inventions patentably distinct from

Application/Control Number: 09/896,486

Art Unit: 2878

one another, i.e. a reference that anticipates or makes obvious one of the inventions would not by

Page 3

itself anticipate or make obvious the other invention.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. The Examiner inadvertently stated that only claim 26 is included for Group V. However,

it should be noted that dependent claims 27-29 should also be included in Group V.

3. The non-elected claims (1-22 and 24-29) should be canceled in order to expedite the

prosecution.

4. This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte

Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS**

from the mailing date of this letter.

Specification

The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all

minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested to correcting any additional errors of which

applicant become aware in the specification. Examples of such errors are:

On page 3, line 5, ".." should be changed to --.--.

On page 3, line 20, after "to the", --low voltage level-- should be inserted.

On page 6, line 11, after "VDD", ---- should be inserted.

On page 6, line 13, after "VDD", ---- should be inserted.

On page 29, line 7, after "VDD", ---- should be inserted.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claim 23 is allowed.
- 6. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The prior art fails to disclose or make obvious a method for testing functionality of a group of active pixel sensors and a chain of circuitry connected to each active pixel sensor of the group of active pixel sensors comprising, in addition to the other recited steps of the claim, the steps of applying one of a group of voltage levels that vary incrementally from a first voltage level to charge a capacitance of a photo-conversion device of each active pixel sensor of the group of active pixel sensors to a first charging voltage level, and differentially comparing the first charging voltage level with a second charging voltage level to create a first difference voltage, whereby the first difference voltage indicates the functionality of each active pixel sensor of the group of active pixel sensors and the chain of circuitry connected to the active pixel sensor.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Application/Control Number: 09/896,486

Art Unit: 2878

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sauer et al, Chou and Beiley are cited for disclosing an image sensor comprising an active pixel sensor and a sample and hold circuit.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Pyo whose telephone number is 703-308-4841. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (with flexible hour), First Mon. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Porta can be reached on 703-308-4852. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9318.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Kevin Pyo

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2878

Pkk 12/13/03